

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,127	09/25/2006	Akio Sugihara	Q97391	8975	
65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 07/01/2008	3	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW				
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594,127 SUGIHARA ET AL. Office Action Summary

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	I				
	NILOOFAR RAHMANI	1625	ĺ				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period in the property of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2006.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior 	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P						

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/25/2007 and 04/30/2008.

6) Other: _____.

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080626

Application/Control Number: 10/594,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12 are currently pending in the instant application.

Priority

This application was file on 09/25/2006, which is a 371 of
 PCT/JP05/05377, filed on 03/24/2005, which claims benefit of 60/556,025, filed on 03/25/2004 and claims benefit of 60/638.388, filed on 12/27/2004.

3. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-8, 10-12 are rejected because the term "for use in solid formulation" is vague and indefinite. It could be liquid, solid, gas or even in jelly form. Correction is required.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected because the term " a range showing no influence on product stability" is vague and indefinite. How much amorphous need to be there to have stability? Does it make it stable or unstable? Correction is required.

5. Claim Rejections - Obvious Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute)

Application/Control Number: 10/594,127

Art Unit: 1625

so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQd 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 168 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130 (b).

Effective January 1,1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims 1-13 of the Umejima et al., US 2008/0103171. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current invention embraces the invention claimed in the above patent.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Umejima et al. of US 2008/0103171 claimed identical compositions in claims 1-13 as the instant claims 1-12.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the instant claims and the issued claims is the claims are not word for word identical but the scope of both sets of claims overlaps mostly significantly with each other.

Finding of prima facia obviousness-rational and motivation (MPEP \$2142.2143)

The issued claims 1-13 are therefore <u>fully embraced</u> by the instant claims

Application/Control Number: 10/594,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slatter et al. US 2004/0138253. Slatter et al. disclosed the instant claimed compositions on page 1, formula (I). On the example 1, page 3, acetone and hexane can be used as solvent. Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Slatter et al.

- 7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fraser et al. US 2004/0198822. Fraser et al. disclosed the instant claimed compositions on page21, paragraph [0269]. On the paragraphs [0278], [0297], [0307], [0308], [0309], [0311], [0322], [0344], polyethylene glycol can be used. Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Fraser et al.
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito et al. US 2005/0181031. Saito et al. disclosed the instant claimed compositions of solifenacin on page 1, paragraph [0008]. On the paragraphs

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/594,127

Art Unit: 1625

[0033], [0039], [0040], [0041], [0042], [0043], [0044], [0045], polyethylene glycol can be used. Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Saito et al.

- 9. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fraser et al. US 2005/0239890. Fraser et al. disclosed the instant claimed compositions of solifenacin on page 21, paragraph [0268]. On the paragraphs [0277], [0296], [0306], [0307], [0308], [0310], [0321], [0343], polyethylene glycol can be used. Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Fraser et al.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niloofar Rahmani whose telephone number is 571-272-4329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/NILOOFAR RAHMANI/

06/26/2008

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625